August 2007 TEXAS EDUCATION AGENCY PAGE 1 of 2

2007 Campus Accountability Data Table Alternative Education Accountability (AEA) Procedures

District Name: HAYS CISD
Campus Name: ACADEMY AT HAYS Grade Span: 09 - 12 Campus Number: 105906004 % At-Risk: 82

Campus Type: AEC OF CHOICE

Rating: AEA: Academically Acceptable

School Leaver Provision used for Annual Dropout Rate.

SDAA II not evaluated due to grade span, small numbers, or no data.

	District	All	African			Econ
	At-Risk	Students	American	Hispanic	White	Disadv
exas Assessment of Knowledge and	Skills (TA	AKS) Progre	ss (Grades :	3-12)		
Analysis Groups Evaluated						
		X		X	X	x
2006-07 Progress Measure						
# Tests Met Standard	6,350	152	*	89	59	71
# Tests	8,358	189	*	109	75	84
% Met Standard	76%	80%	*	82%	79%	85%
Student Group %	n/a	100%	*	58%	40%	44%
2005-06 Progress Measure						
# Tests Met Standard	5,615	110	*	53	57	33
# Tests	7,418	145	*	81	64	54
% Met Standard	76%	76%	*	65%	89%	61%
Required Improvement						
Met Minimum Size Requirements	?					
Actual Change	0	4	*	17	-10	24
Improvement Required	-	-	-	-	-	-
Met Required Improvement?						
tate-Developed Alternative Assess Analysis Groups Evaluated	ment II (S	EDAA II)(Gr	ades 3-10)			
Analysis Groups Evaluated	ment II (S	EDAA II)(Gr	ades 3-10)			
Analysis Groups Evaluated 2006-07 SDAA II Results		EDAA II)(Gr		n/a	n/a	n/a
Analysis Groups Evaluated 2006-07 SDAA II Results # Tests Met ARD Expectations	n/a		n/a	n/a n/a	n/a n/a	n/a n/a
Analysis Groups Evaluated 2006-07 SDAA II Results		*		n/a n/a n/a	n/a n/a n/a	n/a n/a n/a
Analysis Groups Evaluated 2006-07 SDAA II Results # Tests Met ARD Expectations # Tests	n/a n/a	*	n/a n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
Analysis Groups Evaluated 2006-07 SDAA II Results # Tests Met ARD Expectations # Tests % Met ARD Expectations	n/a n/a	*	n/a n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
Analysis Groups Evaluated 2006-07 SDAA II Results # Tests Met ARD Expectations # Tests % Met ARD Expectations 2005-06 SDAA II Results	n/a n/a n/a	* *	n/a n/a n/a	n/a n/a	n/a n/a	n/a n/a
Analysis Groups Evaluated 2006-07 SDAA II Results # Tests Met ARD Expectations # Tests % Met ARD Expectations 2005-06 SDAA II Results # Tests Met ARD Expectations	n/a n/a n/a n/a	* *	n/a n/a n/a n/a	n/a n/a n/a	n/a n/a n/a	n/a n/a n/a
Analysis Groups Evaluated 2006-07 SDAA II Results # Tests Met ARD Expectations # Tests % Met ARD Expectations 2005-06 SDAA II Results # Tests Met ARD Expectations # Tests	n/a n/a n/a n/a	* * *	n/a n/a n/a n/a n/a	n/a n/a n/a n/a	n/a n/a n/a n/a	n/a n/a n/a n/a
Analysis Groups Evaluated 2006-07 SDAA II Results # Tests Met ARD Expectations # Tests % Met ARD Expectations 2005-06 SDAA II Results # Tests Met ARD Expectations # Tests % Met ARD Expectations Required Improvement	n/a n/a n/a n/a n/a	* * *	n/a n/a n/a n/a n/a	n/a n/a n/a n/a	n/a n/a n/a n/a	n/a n/a n/a n/a
Analysis Groups Evaluated 2006-07 SDAA II Results # Tests Met ARD Expectations # Tests % Met ARD Expectations 2005-06 SDAA II Results # Tests Met ARD Expectations # Tests % Met ARD Expectations Required Improvement Met Minimum Size Requirements	n/a n/a n/a n/a n/a	* * *	n/a n/a n/a n/a n/a n/a	n/a n/a n/a n/a n/a	n/a n/a n/a n/a n/a n/a n/a	n/a n/a n/a n/a n/a
Analysis Groups Evaluated 2006-07 SDAA II Results # Tests Met ARD Expectations # Tests % Met ARD Expectations 2005-06 SDAA II Results # Tests Met ARD Expectations # Tests % Met ARD Expectations Required Improvement	n/a n/a n/a n/a n/a n/a	* * * * *	n/a n/a n/a n/a n/a n/a n/a	n/a n/a n/a n/a n/a n/a n/a	n/a n/a n/a n/a n/a n/a n/a n/a	n/a n/a n/a n/a n/a

^{&#}x27;n/a' indicates that the data are not applicable.
' - ' indicates that no data are available.

Special formats ('*', >99%, <1%) are used to protect student confidentiality.

August 2007 TEXAS EDUCATION AGENCY PAGE 2 of 2

2007 Campus Accountability Data Table Alternative Education Accountability (AEA) Procedures

District Name: HAYS CISD

Campus Name: ACADEMY AT HAYS

Grade Span: 09 - 12

Campus Number: 105906004

% At-Risk: 82

Campus Type: AEC OF CHOICE

Rating: AEA: Academically Acceptable

School Leaver Provision used for Annual Dropout Rate.

SDAA II not evaluated due to grade span, small numbers, or no data.

	District	All Students	African American	Hispanic	White	Econ Disady
	At-Risk					
ompletion Rate II (Grades 9-12)						
Analysis Groups Evaluated		x				
Class of 2006						
# Completers	231	49	*	20	25	1:
# Non-completers	30	9	*	6	3	:
# in Class	261	58	4	26	28	10
Completion Rate	88.5%	84.5%	*	76.9%	89.3%	81.3
Student Group %	n/a	100%	7%	45%	48%	28
Class of 2005						
# Completers	235	55	0	29	26	1'
# in Class	239	55	0	29	26	1'
Completion Rate	98.3%	100.0%	-	100.0%	100.0%	100.0
Required Improvement						
Met Minimum Size Requirement	s?					
Actual Change	-9.8	-15.5	*	-23.1	-10.7	-18.
Improvement Required	-	-	_	-	-	
Met Required Improvement?						
Decreases in completion rates making beginning with the 2005-06 scho		o signific	ant changes	in the dr	opout def	inition
nnual Dropout Rate (Grades 7-12)	<u>-</u>					
Analysis Groups Evaluated		x		х	x	х
-						
2005-06						
# Dropouts	n/a	18	*	11	7	!
# Students in Grades 7-12	n/a	154	4	82	67	4:
# Beddenes in Glades /-iz	,		-		0,	
Dropout Rate	n/a	11.7%	*	13.4%	10.4%	11.9

Due to definitional changes, Annual Dropout Rate Required Improvement is not calculated in 2007.

^{&#}x27;n/a' indicates that the data are not applicable.

^{&#}x27; - ' indicates that no data are available.

Special formats ('*', >99%, <1%) are used to protect student confidentiality.